

stresses as Lagrange multipliers. With respect to a classical displacement-based framework it has the main benefit of providing an independent interpolation of the stress field with the minimum increase in terms of computational burden. Alternatively, as presented in this contribution, one may rely on the second discretization of the H-R variational principle, often referred to as truly-mixed, that has regular stresses as main variables and discontinuous displacements as secondary ones. Among the few elements able to pass the “inf-sup condition” [3] under these conditions, the Johnson-Mercier element [6] is herein implemented. The JM triangle has fifteen degrees of freedom for stresses and has the peculiarity of allowing a remarkable improvement in the description of the stress field and therefore in the control of stress concentrations in optimal designs by means of the imposition of local stress constraints.

The contribution firstly presents the stress-constrained minimum compliance setting within a truly-mixed framework, with peculiar attention to the numerical schemes adopted to reduce the computational burden related to the adoption of a JM-based discretization. Afterwards classical and alternative numerical examples are introduced to test the capabilities of the implemented method and to compare its performances with respect to optimization schemes based on classical stress-constrained displacement-based schemes. Peculiar attention is moreover paid to the different mechanical behaviour peculiar to the optimal topology layouts achieved within different topological framework based on mixed or displacement-based discretizations, with or without stress-constraints.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bendsøe M. and Kikuchi N. “Generating optimal topologies in structural design using a homogenization method.” *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.* 1988, 71(2):197–224.
- [2] Bruggi M., Venini P., “A mixed FEM approach to stress-constrained topology optimization”, *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering* 2007, doi 10.1002/nme.2138
- [3] Brezzi F. and Fortin M. 1991. “Mixed and Hybrid Finite Element Methods”. Springer–Verlag, New York.
- [4] Cheng G.D. and Guo X. “ ϵ -relaxed approach in structural topology optimization” *Structural Optimization* 1997, 13, 258-266
- [5] Duysinx P, Bendsoe MP. Topology optimization of. continuum structures with local stress constraints. *Int J. Numer Meth Engng* 1998;43:1453–78
- [6] Johnson. C., Mercier, B. “Some equilibrium finite elements methods for two dimensional elasticity problems”. *Numer. Math.* 1978, 30, 103–116.
- [7] Svanberg K. “Method of moving asymptotes -A new method for structural optimization.” *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering* 1987; 24(3):359–373.