

NUMERICAL IMPLEMENTATION OF A NEW CLASS OF VARIATIONAL PLASTICITY MODELS FOR GEOMATERIALS

*K. Krabbenhoft¹

¹ Centre for Geotechnical and Materials Modelling
 University of Newcastle, NSW, Australia
 E-mail: kristian.krabbenhoft@newcastle.edu.au
 URL: <http://livesite.newcastle.edu.au/cgmm>

Key Words: *Plasticity, geomaterials, variational principles, implicit integration.*

ABSTRACT

Recently, a new class of elastoplasticity models for geomaterials has been proposed [1,2]. In contrast to existing modeling paradigms, the new framework is variational in nature. Essentially, the framework makes use of a generalized form of von Mises's principle of maximum plastic dissipation given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize} \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}^T \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}^T \hat{\mathbf{C}} \dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} - \boldsymbol{\kappa}^T \mathbf{G} \dot{\boldsymbol{\kappa}} - \boldsymbol{\kappa}^T \mathbf{V} \dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}^T \mathbf{V}^T \dot{\boldsymbol{\kappa}} \\ & \text{subject to} \quad F(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}) \leq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$, \mathbf{G} , and \mathbf{V} are constitutive moduli. The associated Karush-Kuhn-Tucker optimality conditions are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} &= \hat{\mathbf{C}} \dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} + \mathbf{V}^T \dot{\boldsymbol{\kappa}} + \dot{\lambda} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} F(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}) \\ \mathbf{0} &= \mathbf{V} \dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} + \mathbf{G} \dot{\boldsymbol{\kappa}} + \dot{\lambda} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}} F(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}) \\ F(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}) &\leq 0, \dot{\lambda} F(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}) = 0, \dot{\lambda} \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

These equations define a class of models capable of capturing the typical features of geomaterials. In particular, any "effective flow rule" can be accommodated by choosing the constitutive moduli appropriately. One such choice [1,2] leads to the following governing equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} &= \mathbf{C} \dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} + \dot{\lambda} [\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} F(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}) + \mathbf{S}^T \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}} F(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\kappa})] \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{\kappa}} &= \mathbf{S} \dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} + \dot{\lambda} \mathbf{h} \\ F(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}) &\leq 0, \dot{\lambda} F(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}) = 0, \dot{\lambda} \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where \mathbf{C} , \mathbf{S} , and \mathbf{h} are new (physical) constitutive moduli. Thus, the effective flow rule is governed by the modulus \mathbf{S} which is not present in conventional elastoplastic models.

Straightforward manipulations of the governing equations (3) lead to the following incremental relation between stresses and strains:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} = \mathbf{C}^{ep} \dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}, \quad \mathbf{C}^{ep} = \mathbf{C} + \frac{1}{H} \tilde{\mathbf{a}} \tilde{\mathbf{a}}^T \quad (4)$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{a}} = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} F(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}) + \mathbf{S}^T \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}} F(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}), \quad H = -\mathbf{h}^T \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}} F(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}) \quad (5)$$

The “continuum” elastoplastic tangent modulus is thus always symmetric.

The governing equations (2) are easily extended to finite-size increments. Using a backward Euler approximation we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\varepsilon &= \hat{\mathbf{C}}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n+1} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n) + \mathbf{V}^\top(\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{n+1} - \boldsymbol{\kappa}_n) + \lambda_{n+1}\nabla_\sigma F(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{n+1}) \\ \mathbf{0} &= \mathbf{V}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n+1} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n) + \mathbf{G}(\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{n+1} - \boldsymbol{\kappa}_n) + \lambda_{n+1}\nabla_\kappa F(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{n+1}) \\ F(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{n+1}) &\leq 0, \dot{\lambda}_{n+1}F(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{n+1}) = 0, \dot{\lambda} \geq 0\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

where $\Delta\varepsilon$ is considered given. These equations follow from a maximization principle given by

$$\begin{aligned}\text{maximize} \quad & \boldsymbol{\chi}_{n+1}^\top \Delta\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\chi}_{n+1} - \boldsymbol{\chi}_{\text{tr}})^\top \mathbf{L}(\boldsymbol{\chi}_{n+1} - \boldsymbol{\chi}_{\text{tr}}) \\ \text{subject to} \quad & F(\boldsymbol{\chi}_{n+1}) \leq 0\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

or, equivalently, by

$$\begin{aligned}\text{maximize} \quad & -\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\chi}_{n+1} - \boldsymbol{\chi}_{\text{tr}})^\top \mathbf{L}(\boldsymbol{\chi}_{n+1} - \boldsymbol{\chi}_{\text{tr}}) \\ \text{subject to} \quad & F(\boldsymbol{\chi}_{n+1}) \leq 0\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\chi} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \\ \boldsymbol{\kappa} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\chi}_{\text{tr}} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n + \mathbf{C}^{-1}\Delta\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \\ \boldsymbol{\kappa}_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{C}} & \mathbf{V}^\top \\ \mathbf{V} & \mathbf{G} \end{bmatrix}\quad (9)$$

This is a standard closest-point projection problem [3] which can be solved either “directly”, by application of Newton’s method to (6), or by means of more elaborate methods from the optimization literature [4].

The finite-step material-point principle (7) can be extended to the spatial domain of interest by the following Hellinger-Reissner type principle:

$$\begin{aligned}\min_{\mathbf{u}_{n+1}} \max_{\boldsymbol{\chi}_{n+1}} \quad & \int_V \left\{ \boldsymbol{\chi}_{n+1}^\top \Delta\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta\boldsymbol{\chi}_{n+1}^\top \mathbf{L} \Delta\boldsymbol{\chi}_{n+1} + \Delta\mathbf{u}_{n+1}^\top (\nabla\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n+1} - \mathbf{b}) \right\} dV - \int_S \Delta\mathbf{u}_{n+1}^\top \mathbf{t} dS \\ \text{subject to} \quad & F(\boldsymbol{\chi}_{n+1}) \leq 0\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta\mathbf{u}_{n+1} = \mathbf{u}_{n+1} - \mathbf{u}_n$ are the displacements, \mathbf{b} are the body forces, and \mathbf{t} are the tractions. This problem may be discretized by finite elements and subsequently solved using the conventional two-stage procedure of Simo [3] or by means of the optimization inspired scheme proposed by Krabbenhoft *et al.* [5]. In the presentation both approaches will be considered.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Krabbenhoft *et al.*, “Variational plasticity models for geomaterials”, *Proc. COMPLAS IX*, Barcelona, (2007).
- [2] K. Krabbenhoft “A variational theory of plasticity for frictional materials”, Research Report, University of Newcastle, NSW (2008).
- [3] J.C. Simo, *Topics on the Numerical Analysis and Simulation of Plasticity*, in: Handbook of Numerical Analysis, Vol. III, Elsevier, (1995).
- [4] R.J. Vanderbei, *Linear Programming Foundations and Extensions*, Springer.
- [5] K. Krabbenhoft, A.V. Lyamin, S.W. Sloan, P. Wriggers., “An interior-point algorithm for elastoplasticity”, *Int. J. Numer. Meth. Eng.*, **69**, 592-626, (2007).