

## ON COMBINATIONS OF ADAPTIVE FE AND MESHLESS FDM

J. Krok<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Cracow University of Technology  
Warszawska 24, 31-154 Krakow  
plkrok@cyf-kr.edu.pl

**Key Words:** *FEM, Meshless Finite Difference Method, FEM and MFDM combinations*

### ABSTRACT

Effective combination of the Meshless FD (MFDM) and FE methods is being intensively developed in the recent time [1-5,10,11,12,13,14]. Following earlier Author papers on combinations of the both FE and MFD methods [8,9,10,11], considered are here further developments of a unified approach to combination of these methods.

Several possible combinations of the FE and MFD methods were examined. One approach is based on an attempt to bring the MFDM and the FEM closer to each other. Both methods may be oriented on evaluation of the vector  $\mathbf{Df} = \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{d}$  composed of function and its derivatives  $\mathbf{Df} = \{f, f_x, f_y, f_{xx}, f_{yy}, f_{xy}, \dots\}$  given as a linear combination of nodal unknowns  $\mathbf{d}$  and an approximation matrix  $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{x})$ . In the MFDM, for a given fixed point  $x_i$  of the domain, the matrix  $\mathbf{Q}$  presents meshless finite difference formulas at this point. In the FEM this matrix is formed using shape functions and their derivatives.

The other possible way of unification uses a reverse approach. Thus, using moving weighted least squares approximation the MFDM formulas are expressed in terms of the FEM notation due to definition  $f = \sum_i N_i \mathbf{d}_i$  of appropriate pseudo shape functions  $N_i$ .

Moreover a combination of the both methods may be applied at the same time - in different subdomains. This concept may be done using:

1. Kinematically admissible weighting functions [12]. This way interpolation is enforced on the boundary between subdomains where different methods are applied,
2. So called ramp functions [1,5,13]. Ramp functions are FEM shape functions. They give interpolation in the FEM nodes and have zero values in the MFDM nodes.
3. Lagrange multipliers [3] and penalty function methods.
4. Collocation approach - overlapping FEM and MFDM interpolants [8-12]. This approach is generalized in the presented work by using special "hanging nodes".
5. Reproducitivity conditions in transition zone [2,4,12].

Both considered methods may be also applied in a sequence, when the MFDM (MWLS based) postprocessing is applied to smoothen rough results obtained by the FEM [7,8].

The present research, done in the domain of the adaptive techniques, includes:

1. *Mesh control techniques:*

- (i) *a posteriori error estimation:* certain generalization of the ZZ estimators [6] is done here,
- (ii) *mesh refinement strategy*

2. *Mesh refinement and/or enrichment.* Regeneration of meshes is dealt with here.

3. *Mapping of history dependent variables from the old to the new mesh.*

4. *Final postprocessing of solutions on fine meshes for additional solution enhancement.*

The novel methods of a posteriori error analysis are introduced here and several mesh refinement techniques are summarized. A ZZ energy norm estimator may be used in the MFDM as well. It may be generalized. One may use the MFDM solution taking into consideration local derivatives and consistent derivatives ones as a reference one. Computer implementation of that FE/MFD model is done in the form of the **NAFDEM** system (**N**onlinear **A**daptive **F**inite **D**ifference and **E**lement **M**ethods [12]). A number of boundary value problems, were solved. Advantages of the proposed approach is proved.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T.Belytschko, D.Organ, Y.Krongauz, Coupled Finite Element/Element Free Galerkin Method, *Comput. Mech.*, 17, 186-195(1995).
- [2] Cz.Cichon, J.Jaskowiec, Coupling Generalized FC Model to Meshless EFG Method for Crack Growth Analysis in Quasi-Brittle materials, *Comp. Ass. Mech.*, 2003.
- [3] D.Hegen, Element-Free Galerkin Methods in Combination with Finite Element Approaches, *Comp. Meth. Appl. Mech. Engng*, 135, 143-166 (1996).
- [4] A.Huerta, S.Hernandez-Menez, Enrichment and Coupling of the Finite Element and Meshless Methods, *Int. J. Numer. Methods Eng*, 48, 1615-1630(2000).
- [5] H.Karutz, R.Chudoba, W.B.Kratzig, Automatic Adaptive Generation of a Coupled Finite Element/Element Free Galerkin Discretization, *Finite Elements in Analysis and Design*, 18, 1075-1091(2002).
- [6] J.Krok, On Generalization of Zienkiewicz-Zhu (Z-Z) Error Estimation Using Moving Weighted least Squares Method, *CMM-2005 Conf. Comp. Meth. in Mech.*, Czestochowa, Poland.
- [7] J.Krok, J.Orkisz, Application of the Generalized FD Approach to Stress Evaluation in the FE Solution, *Proc. of Int. Conf. on Comp. Mechanics*, Tokyo 1986, Springer-Verlag, V. XII, 31-36(1986).
- [8] J.Krok, J.Orkisz, *A Unified Approach to the FE and Generalized Variational FD Methods in Nonlinear Mechanics*, Concepts and Numerical Approach, IUTAM/IACM Symposium Vienna, 1989, in: *Discretization Methods in Structural Mechanics*, G.Kuhn, H.Mang (Eds.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 353-362(1990).
- [9] J.Krok, J.Orkisz, M.Stanuszek, A Unique FEM/FDM System of Discrete Analysis of Boundary Value Problems in Mechanics, *Proc. of XI Conf. on Comp. Meth. in Mechanics*, Kielce-Cedzyna, Vol. 1, 466-472(1993).
- [10] J.Krok, *A Unified Approach to the Adaptive FEM and Meshless FDM*, Fifth World Congress on Computational Mechanics, 2002, Vienna (invited paper).
- [11] J.Krok, J.Orkisz, A Unified Approach to the Adaptive Meshless FDM and FEM, *ECCM-2001 European Conf. On Comp. Mechanics*, June 2001, Cracow, Poland.
- [12] J.Krok, M.Stanuszek, J.Orkisz, System NAFDEM for Adaptive Combined Meshless FD and FE Analysis of BVP Including Membrane and Cable Structures, *CMM-2005 Conf. Comp. Meth. in Mech.*, Czestochowa, Poland 2005.
- [13] D.Lacroix, Ph.Bouillard, Improved Sensitivity Analysis by a Coupled FE-EFG Methods, *Computer and Structures*, 2431-2439(2003).
- [14] J.Orkisz, *Meshless Finite Difference Method*, in: *Numerical Methods in Mechanics*, M.Kleiber (Ed.), Springer - Verlag, Berlin 1998.
- [15] O.C.Zienkiewicz, J.Z.Zhu, A Simple Estimator and Adaptive Procedure for Practical Engineering Analysis, *Int. J. Num. Meth. Eng.*, **24**, 337-357(1987).