A-posteriori error-estimation and optimal-adaptive refinement for fluid-solid-interaction problems

* Harald van Brummelen¹ and Kris van der Zee¹

¹ Delft University of Technology, Faculty of Aerospace Engineering P.O. Box 5058. NL-2600GB Delft, The Netherlands {e.h.vanbrummelen,k.g.vanderzee}tudelft.nl

Key Words: A-posteriori error estimation, optimal adaptive refinement, free boundary problems

ABSTRACT

A-posteriori error estimation and corresponding optimal adaptive-refinement strategies form an interesting paradigm for multiphysics problems such as fluid-solid interaction, as typically engineering interest is restricted to only one of the subsystems, and the complexity of the subsystems can be distinctly different. For instance, in the interaction of a turbulent flow with a structure, how accurately should the flow be resolved to obtain an accurate approximation of the structural displacement? In principle, multiphysics problems can be treated in accordance with the general framework for a-posteriori error estimation and adaptive refinement as developed by, notably, Becker and Rannacher [1] and Oden and Prudhomme [2,3]. Free-boundary problems such as fluid-structure interaction, do however not admit a straightforward condensation into canonical variation form, on account of the inherent interconnection between the initial-boundary-value problems and their domains of definition. Hence, in the linearization that is necessary to derive the linearized dual problem, one has to account for *domain derivatives* [4,5].

In this presentation, we consider two approaches to derive the domain derivatives for free-boundary problems, analogous to the *material derivative* and the *shape derivative* in shape optimization. Both derivatives can serve to construct suitable dual problems, which can in turn be used for goal-oriented error estimates for discretizations of fluid-structure-interaction problems.

To illustrate the error-estimation and refinement procedures, we present examples such as incompressible flow past a backward-facing step with a flexible bottom [6].

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Becker and R. Rannacher. An optimal control approach to a posteriori error estimation in finite element methods. *Acta Numerica*, 1–201, (2001).
- [2] S. Prudhomme and J.T. Oden. Computable error estimators and adaptive techniques for fluid flow problems. In T. Barth and H. Deconinck, editors, *Error Estimation and Adaptive Discretization Methods in Computational Fluid Dynamics*, Springer-Verlag, 207–268, (2002).
- [3] S. Prudhomme and J.T. Oden. On goal-oriented error estimation for elliptic problems: applications to the control of pointwise errors. *Comput. Meth. Appl. Mech. Engrg.*, 176, 313–331, (1999).

- [4] K.G. van der Zee, E.H. van Brummelen and R. de Borst. Goal-oriented error estimation for Stokes flow interacting with a flexible channel. *Int. J. Num. Meth. Fluids*, to appear.
- [5] K.G. van der Zee, E.H. van Brummelen and R. de Borst. Dual-based a-posteriori error estimation for fluid-structure interaction by the embedded domain method. *ECCOMAS CFD* 06: Proceedings of the European Conference on Computational Fluid Dynamics, paper 588 on CDROM, Egmond aan Zee, The Netherlands, (2006).
- [6] M.A. Fernandez, J.-F. Gerbeau and C. Grandmont. A projection semi-implicit scheme for the coupling of an elastic structure with an incompressible fluid. *Int. J. Numer. Meth. Engng.*, 69, 794–821, (2007).