

Plane Wave Discontinuous Galerkin Methods

* R. Hiptmair¹, C. Gittelsohn², and I. Perugia³

¹ SAM, ETH Zürich
CH-8092 Zürich
hiptmair@sam.math.ethz.ch

² SAM, ETH Zürich
CH-8092 Zürich
giclaude@student.ethz.ch

³ Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Pavia,
Via Ferrata 1, I-27100 Pavia,
ilaria.perugia@unipv.it

Key Words: *Wave propagation, finite element methods, discontinuous Galerkin methods, plane waves, ultra weak variational formulation, duality estimates*

ABSTRACT

Standard low order Lagrangian finite element discretization of boundary value problems for the Helmholtz equation $-\Delta u - \omega^2 u = f$ are afflicted with the so-called pollution phenomenon: though for sufficiently small $h\omega$ an accurate approximation of u is possible, the Galerkin procedure fails to provide it. Attempts to remedy this have focused on incorporating extra information in the form of plane wave functions $\boldsymbol{x} \mapsto \exp(i\omega \boldsymbol{d} \cdot \boldsymbol{x})$, $|\boldsymbol{d}| = 1$, into the trial spaces. Prominent examples of such methods are the plane wave partition of unity finite element method of Babuska and Melenk [1], and the ultra-weak Galerkin discretization due to Cessenat and Despres [3, 4]. Both perform well in computations, see the articles by Monk and Hutunen [8, 7, 6] for computational results for the ultra-weak approach.

It turns out that the latter method can be recast as a special so-called discontinuous Galerkin (DG) method employing local trial spaces spanned by a few plane waves. In a sense, this is a special case of a Trefftz-type approximation. This perspective paves the way for marrying plane wave approximation with many of the various DG methods developed for 2nd-order elliptic boundary value problems. We have pursued this for a class of primal mixed DG methods, which generalize the ultra-weak scheme.

For these methods we have developed a convergence analysis for the h -version, which achieves convergence through mesh refinement [5]. Key elements are approximation estimates for plane waves and sophisticated duality techniques. The latter entail estimating how well local plane waves can approximate the solution of a dual problem. Unfortunately, we cannot help invoking general polynomial estimates in Sobolev spaces for this purpose. This results in a minimal resolution condition that the trial space has to meet in order to yield quasi-optimal DG solutions. Thus, the notorious pollution effect that haunts local discretizations of wave propagation problems manifests itself in the theoretical estimates [2].

Only in 1D the pollution effect can be avoided by the plane wave DG methods. Conversely, in higher dimensions only a few of infinitely many wave directions will be represented in the trial space, usually equidistributed on unit circle/unit sphere. If the solution of a boundary value problem contains plane wave components falling in between these directions, *numerical dispersion* is inevitable. Stark evidence is offered by numerical experiments in 2D.

References

- [1] I. BABUŠKA AND J. MELENK, *The partition of unity method*, Int. J. Numer. Methods Eng., 40 (1997), pp. 727–758.
- [2] I. BABUŠKA AND S. SAUTER, *Is the pollution effect of the FEM avoidable for the Helmholtz equation?*, SIAM Review, 42 (2000), pp. 451–484.
- [3] O. CESSENAT AND B. DESPRES, *Application of an ultra weak variational formulation of elliptic PDEs to the two-dimensional Helmholtz equation*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 35 (1998), pp. 255–299.
- [4] ———, *Using plane waves as base functions for solving time harmonic equations with the ultra weak variational formulation*, J. Computational Acoustics, 11 (2003), pp. 227–238.
- [5] C. GITTELSON, R. HIPTMAIR, AND I. PERUGIA, *Plane wave discontinuous galerkin methods*. In preparation.
- [6] T. HUTTUNEN, M. MALINEN, AND P. MONK, *Solving maxwell’s equations using the ultra weak variational formulation*, J. Comp. Phys., (2006).
- [7] T. HUTTUNEN AND P. MONK, *The use of plane waves to approximate wave propagation in anisotropic media*, J. Computational Mathematics, 25 (2007), pp. 350–367.
- [8] T. HUTTUNEN, P. MONK, AND J. KAIPIO, *Computational aspects of the ultra-weak variational formulation*, J. Comp. Phys., 182 (2002), pp. 27–46.